		Application No. Applicant(s)			
Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary		10/728,634 F		PRZYGODA ET AL.	
		Examiner		Art Unit	
		Timothy D Collins		3643	
All Participants: Status of Application: New Application					
(1) Timothy D Collins.		(3)			
(2) <u>David Bowls</u> .		(4)			
Date of Interview: <u>2 August 2004</u>		Time:			
Type of Interview: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative) Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No If Yes, provide a brief description:					
Part I.					
Rejection(s) discussed: N/A					
Claims discussed: 1,11,19, and 30					
Prior art documents discussed: 4912921 and figure 3 of the applicant's figures					
Part II.					
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE See Continuation Sheet	E GENE	RAL NATURE OF WI	HAT WAS	DISCUSSED:	
Part III.					
 ☑ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above. 					
(Examiner/SPE Signature) (/	Applican	t/Applicant's Represe	ntative Si	gnature – if app	ropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: The examiner made some suggestions of allowable subject matter which included claiming the linearity of the design of the system. For example that the engine-pto-generator-AMAD-other devices should be claimed in a way similar to claim 27. It was agreed that an examiner's amendment would be made to place the case in condition for allowance..